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Overview: A substantive change is a significant modification or expansion of the nature and scope of an accredited institution. SACSCOC is required by the federal government to monitor the compliance of its member colleges and universities with the substantive change policy and to grant permission for major changes to occur. The SACSCOC Board of Trustees requires notification of substantive changes as a condition of accreditation (CS3.12.1) and, in some cases, approval prior to implementation. This policy and its procedures address substantive changes identified through federal regulations and the SACSCOC Board of Trustees. Under federal regulations, substantive change includes

- Any change in the established mission or objectives of the institution
- Any change in legal status, form of control, or ownership of the institution
- The addition of courses or programs that represent a significant departure, either in content or method of delivery, from those that were offered when the institution was last evaluated
- The addition of courses or programs of study at a degree or credential level different from that which is included in the institution’s current accreditation or reaffirmation
- A change from clock hours to credit hours
- A substantial increase in the number of clock or credit hours awarded for successful completion of a program
- The establishment of an additional location geographically apart from the main campus at which the institution offers at least 50% of an educational program
- The establishment of a branch campus
• Closing a program, off-campus site, branch campus or institution
• Entering into a collaborative academic arrangement that includes only the initiation of a dual or joint academic program with another institution
• Acquiring another institution or a program or location of another institution
• Adding a permanent location at a site where the institution is conducting a teach-out program for a closed institution
• Entering into a contract by which an entity not eligible for Title IV funding offers 25% or more of one or more of the accredited institution’s programs

There are three procedures established by SACSCOC for addressing the different types of substantive changes.

• **Procedure One** for the review of Substantive Changes Requiring Notification and Approval Prior to Implementation (e.g., initiating a new off-campus site, offering 50% or more of a new degree program online)
• **Procedure Two** for Review of Substantive Changes Requiring Only Notification Prior to Implementation (e.g., offering 25-49% of a new degree program online, initiating a joint degree program with another SACSCOC accredited institution)
• **Procedure Three** for Closing a Program, Site, Branch Campus or Institution (e.g., ceasing to offer a degree program at an off-campus site, closing an approved off-campus site)

Which procedure to be employed for differing types of substantive changes is clarified in the SACSCOC policy statement *entitled Substantive Change for Accredited Institutions of the Commission on Colleges* (see attached Appendix A)(see page 8). The different types of substantive changes, the specific procedure to be used for each, their respective approval/notification requirements, and their reporting time lines are included on pages 14-17. A detailed presentation of the procedural steps to be followed in executing Procedure One, Procedure Two, or Procedure Three is presented on pages 23-34.

**University of Alabama Substantive Change Process**

**Responsibilities and Actions:**

**Overall Responsibility**

The Provost and Executive Vice President, Vice Presidents, Deans, and Directors have a fundamental responsibility to be aware of the University’s substantive change policy and to assure that their staff adhere to this policy.

**Implementation Responsibilities**

**Academic Department Chair**

It is the responsibility of the respective academic department chair (on behalf of his/her faculty) to initiate appropriate action steps if the substantive change involves offering degree programs or
certificate programs at an off-campus location or utilizing a distance education delivery format (see Appendix A pages 10-11) for a Glossary of Terms related to Substantive Change).

- **New Degree Program or Certificate Program:**
  If the substantive change involves a new degree program or certificate program to be offered online or at an off-campus location, the Department Chair should follow either Procedure One or Procedure Two referenced above.

- **Existing Approved Degree Program or Certificate Program:**
  If the substantive change involves an already existing and approved degree program or certificate program to be offered at an off-campus site or utilizing a distance education delivery format, prior SACSCOC approval is not required. However, the university is still expected to ensure that all appropriate Principles of Accreditation core requirements, comprehensive standards and federal requirements are met by the distance offering. To ensure that end, the Department Chair is required to follow the defined process and procedural steps enumerated in Appendix B of this UA Substantive Change Policy (see Appendix B, page 41 – Converting an Approved Campus-based Degree Program to a Distance Offering),

  - Sign the UA Substantive Change Routing Approval Form (see attached) and forward it and all supporting documentation to the College Associate Dean.

**Designated College Associate Dean**

It is the responsibility of the designated associate dean within the respective college advancing the substantive change to

  - Review the substantive change documentation and resolve any questions or issues surfacing from that review with the department chair,
  - Verify that the actions steps executed by the department chair ensure that all SACSCOC and federal regulations pertaining to substantive change have been met,
  - Sign the UA Substantive Change Routing Approval Form (see attached page 7) and forward it and all supporting documentation to the College Dean.

**College Dean**

It is the responsibility of the college dean to

  - Review the substantive change documentation and resolve any questions or issues surfacing from that review with the College Associate Dean,
  - Approve the substantive change verifying that all federal, SACSCOC and UA substantive change requirements have been met,
  - Share the approved substantive change documentation with the Dean/Associate Dean of the Graduate School (if the substantive change pertains to graduate level degree programs) for review and verification that all UA Graduate School requirements have been met (e.g., assigned faculty are members of the UA graduate faculty, a graduate program director responsible for the program has been named, etc.),
  - Share the completed substantive change with the Associate Provost for International Education and Global Outreach (if the substantive change pertains to the delivery of degree programs or certification programs at international sites) for review and verification that...
provisions within the Memorandum of Understanding are consistent with Capstone International Center academic program policies,
• Sign the UA Substantive Change Routing Approval Form (see attached page 7) and forward it and all supporting documentation to
  a) the UA SACSCOC Liaison
  b) the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs.

**Graduate School Dean**

It is the responsibility of the Dean of the Graduate School to
• Review substantive changes that pertain to graduate level degree programs and resolve any questions or issues that surface during that review with the respective college associate dean,
• Verify that all UA Graduate School requirements have been met in the substantive change (e.g., assigned faculty are members of the graduate faculty, a graduate program director responsible for the program has been named, etc.),
• Sign the UA substantive change Routing Approval Form (see attached page 7) and forward it to
  a) the UA SACSCOC Liaison
  b) the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs.

**Associate Provost for International Education**

It is the responsibility of the Associate Provost for International Education to
• Review substantive changes that pertain to the delivery of degree programs or certificate programs at international sites and resolve any questions or issues that surface during that review with the respective college associate dean,
• Verify that provisions within the Memorandum of Understanding are consistent with Capstone International Center academic program policies,
• Sign the UA substantive change Routing Approval Form (see attached page 7) and forward it to
  a) the UA SACSCOC Liaison
  b) the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs.

**UA SACSCOC Liaison**

It is the responsibility of the UA SACSCOC Liaison to
• Review substantive change documentation and resolve any questions or issues that surface during that review with the college dean/associate dean/department chair, the Graduate School Dean or the Associate Provost for International Education,
• Advise the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs concerning any question or issue requiring an institution-level decision prior to final approval of the substantive change,
• Inform the university leadership of changes in SACSCOC policies and procedures related to substantive change,
• Maintain the SACSCOC website to include the most recent updated version of the UA Substantive Change Policy and the most recent list of approved undergraduate and graduate degree programs and certificate programs,
• Draft a letter for the Provost & VP for Academic Affairs from the UA President to the SACSCOC President notifying the SACSCOC of the substantive change when SACSCOC notification and/or approval is necessary.

Provost & Vice President for Academic Affairs

It is the responsibility of the UA Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs
• to review the substantive change documentation with the UA SACSCOC Liaison to confirm that no issues or questions remain that justify withholding approval for the substantive change,
• to sign the UA Substantive Change Routing Approval Form (see attached page 7) approving the substantive change,
• to finalize the draft letter to the SACSCOC President notifying/seeking approval (when necessary) for the substantive change,
• to share communications pertaining to the substantive change to and from the SACSCOC with the respective college dean,
• to notify the University Registrar of the approved substantive change by sending a copy of the completed routing form so that the courses making up the degree program can be appropriately coded in the UA registration system (e.g., as an online offering, as an off-campus offering, etc),
• to notify the Dean of the College of Continuing Studies (if the substantive change involves online or electronic delivery of courses, or if the substantive change involves offering the degree program at the Gadsden Center) of the approved substantive change by sending a copy of the completed routing form to the CCS Dean so that CCS may initiate their support functions.

Means by Which Institutional Constituents are Informed of the UA Substantive Change Policy and Procedure:

The Provost and Executive Vice President, Vice Presidents, Deans, and Directors have a fundamental responsibility to be aware of the University’s substantive change policy and to assure that their staff adhere to this policy. This policy will be posted on the SACSCOC website with links to it from numerous other UA websites (e.g., Academic Affairs, Graduate School, OIE, OIRA, CCS, etc.)

The UA SACSCOC Liaison will distribute copies of the UA Substantive Change Policy and Procedure to the Vice Presidents, Deans and Directors annually as well as immediately after any change in policy and procedure has been approved. The UA SACSCOC Liaison will also update the substantive change policy posting on the UA SACSCOC website.
In turn, the VPs, Deans and Directors will distribute copies of the UA Substantive Change Policy and Procedure to their constituents and discuss and answer related questions.

**Process of Amending and Approving the UA Substantive Change Policy and/or Implementation Procedures**

The UA Council of Deans will consider and approve changes in UA Substantive Change Policy and Procedure

**Compliance with Substantive Change Policy and Procedures Mandatory?**

Yes

The Provost and Executive Vice President, Vice Presidents, Deans, and Directors have a fundamental responsibility to be aware of the University’s substantive change policy and to assure that their staff adhere to this policy.
University of Alabama Substantive Change Routing Approval Form

Name of the Degree Program: ____________________________________________________________

Originating Department: ________________________________________________________________

Check one: ☐ This substantive change involves a new degree program to be offered online or at an off-campus location
☐ This substantive change is an existing and approved degree program to be offered at an off-campus location or utilizing a distance education delivery format

Approvals:

1. Department Chair: The UA and SACSCOC policies and procedures have been followed in the preparation of this substantive change: _________________________
   (Print Name)
   (Signature)

2. College Associate Dean: I have reviewed and verify that the action steps executed by the department chair ensure that all UA, SACSCOC, and federal policies and procedures pertaining to the substantive change have been met.
   _________________________
   (Print Name)
   (Signature)

3. College Dean: I have reviewed and approve the substantive change and verify that all federal, SACSCOC and UA substantive change policies and procedures have been met.
   _________________________
   (Print Name)
   (Signature)

(If Graduate Degree Program)
4. Graduate Dean/Assoc Dean I have reviewed the graduate level substantive change documentation and affirm that all UA Graduate School policies and procedures associated with a substantive change have been addressed.
   _________________________
   (Print Name)
   (Signature)

(If International Program)
5. Associate Provost for International Education I have reviewed the substantive change documentation and affirm that all provisions within the Memorandum of Understanding are consistent with Capstone International Center academic program policies.
   _________________________
   (Print Name)
   (Signature)

6. Provost & VP Acad Affairs: ☐ I approve the substantive change for this existing approve degree program
☐ I approve the substantive change for this new degree program pending official approval from the SACSCOC.
   _________________________
   (Print Name)
   (Signature)
Appendix A

SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE FOR SACSCOC ACCREDITED INSTITUTIONS

Policy Statement

Institutional Obligations:

1. Member institutions are required to notify the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC) of changes in accordance with the substantive change policy and, when required, seek approval prior to the initiation of changes.
2. Member institutions are required to have a policy and procedure to ensure that all substantive changes are reported to the Commission in a timely fashion.

Definition: Substantive change is a significant modification or expansion of the nature and scope of an accredited institution. Under federal regulations, substantive change includes

- Any change in the established mission or objectives of the institution
- Any change in legal status, form of control, or ownership of the institution
- The addition of courses or programs that represent a significant departure, either in content or method of delivery, from those that were offered when the institution was last evaluated
- The addition of courses or programs of study at a degree or credential level different from that which is included in the institution's current accreditation or reaffirmation.
- A change from clock hours to credit hours
- A substantial increase in the number of clock or credit hours awarded for successful completion of a program
- The establishment of an additional location geographically apart from the main campus at which the institution offers at least 50% of an educational program.
- The establishment of a branch campus
- Closing a program, off-campus site, branch campus or institution
- Entering into a collaborative academic arrangement that includes only the initiation of a dual or joint academic program with another institution
- Acquiring another institution or a program or location of another institution
- Adding a permanent location at a site where the institution is conducting a teach-out program for a closed institution
- Entering into a contract by which an entity not eligible for Title IV funding offers 25% or more of one or more of the accredited institution's programs

The SACSCOC Board of Trustees has approved additional substantive changes that require notification and, in some cases, approval prior to implementation. This policy and its procedures address substantive changes identified through Federal regulations and Board approval.
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Glossary of Terms

Branch campus - a location of an institution that is geographically apart and independent of the main campus of the institution. A location is independent of the main campus if the location is

- permanent in nature
- offers courses in educational programs leading to a degree, certificate, or other recognized educational credential
- has its own faculty and administrative or supervisory organization and
- has its own budgetary and hiring authority

Contractual Agreement – typically is one in which an institution enters an agreement for receipt of courses/programs or portions of courses or programs (i.e., clinical training internships, etc.) delivered by another institution or service provider.

Consortial Relationship: A consortial relationship typically is one in which two or more institutions share in the responsibility of developing and delivering courses and programs that meet mutually agreed upon standards of academic quality.

Correspondence education - a formal educational process under which the institution provides instructional materials, by mail or electronic transmission, including examinations on the materials, to students who are separated from the instructor. Interaction between the instructor and the student is limited, is not regular and substantive, and is primarily initiated by the student; courses are typically self-paced.

Degree completion program – a program typically designed for a non-traditional undergraduate population such as working adults who have completed some college-level course work but have not achieved a baccalaureate degree. Students in such programs may transfer in credit from courses taken previously and may receive credit for experiential learning. Courses in degree completion programs are often offered in an accelerated format or meet during evening and weekend hours, or may be offered via distance learning technologies.

Distance education - a formal educational process in which the majority of the instruction (interaction between students and instructors and among students) in a course occurs when students and instructors are not in the same place. Instruction may be synchronous or asynchronous. A distance education course may use the internet; one-way and two-way transmissions through open broadcast, closed circuit, cable, microwave, broadband lines, fiber optics, satellite, or wireless communications devices; audio conferencing; or video cassettes, DVD’s, and CD-ROMs if used as part of the distance learning course or program.

Dual degree – separate program completion credentials each of which bears only the name, seal, and signature of the institution awarding the degree to the student.

Educational program – a coherent course of study leading to the awarding of a credential (i.e., a degree, diploma or certificate).
**Geographically separate** - an instructional site or branch campus that is located physically apart from the main campus of the institution.

**Joint degree** - a single program completion credential bearing the names, seals, and signatures of each of the two or more institutions awarding the degree to the student. **Modified prospectus** - a prospectus submitted in lieu of a full prospectus for certain designated substantive changes. When a modified prospectus is acceptable, the Commission specifies requested information from the institution.

**Notification** - a letter from an institution's chief executive officer, or his/her designated representative, to SACSCOC President summarizing a proposed change, providing the intended implementation date, and listing the complete physical address if the change involves the initiation of an off-campus site or branch campus. The policy and procedures for reporting and review of institutional substantive change are outlined in the document “Substantive Change for SACSCOC Accredited Institutions.”

**Significant departure** – a program that is not closely related to previously approved programs at the institution or site or for the mode of delivery in question. To determine whether a new program is a "significant departure," it is helpful to consider the following questions:

- What previously approved programs does the institution offer that are closely related to the new program and how are they related?
- Will significant additional equipment or facilities be needed?
- Will significant additional financial resources be needed?
- Will a significant number of new courses will be required?
- Will a significant number of new faculty members will be required?
- Will significant additional library/learning resources be needed?

**Teach-out agreement** - a written agreement between institutions that provides for the equitable treatment of students and a reasonable opportunity for students to complete their program of study if an institution, or an institutional location that provides 50% or more of at least one program offered, ceases to operate before all enrolled students have completed their program of study. This applies to the closure of an institution, a site, or a program. Such a teach-out agreement requires SACSCOC approval in advance of implementation.

**Teach-out plan** - a written plan developed by an institution that provides for the equitable treatment of students if an institution, or an institutional location that provides 50% or more of at least one program, ceases to operate before all students have completed their program of study, and may include, if required by the institution's accrediting agency, a teach-out agreement between institutions. This applies to the closure of an institution, a site, or a program. Teach-out plans must be approved by SACSCOC in advance of implementation.
The Policy

Commission Responsibilities

The Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC) accredits an entire institution and its programs and services, wherever they are located or however they are delivered. It is responsible for reviewing all substantive changes that occur between an institution’s decennial reviews, determining whether the changes have affected the quality of the total institution, and assuring the public that all aspects of the institution continue to meet defined standards.

SACSCOC is recognized by the U.S. Department of Education as an agency whose accreditation enables its member institutions to seek eligibility to participate in Title IV programs. To maintain its recognition with the U.S. Department of Education, SACSCOC has incorporated federal requirements into its substantive change policy and procedures. Some of those requirements specify that an institution seek and receive approval prior to the initiation of a substantive change so that the change can be included in the institution’s scope of accreditation.

Institutional Responsibilities

It is the responsibility of an institution to follow SACSCOC substantive change procedures and inform SACSCOC of substantive changes as specified in those procedures. If an institution is unclear as to whether a change is substantive in nature, it should contact SACSCOC staff for consultation.

SACSCOC accredits institutions, not systems. While a system may provide SACSCOC with important information regarding changes planned or underway at its institutions, it is expected that each institution will follow the reporting requirements of the substantive change policy.

Procedures for Reporting: An Overview

There are three procedures for addressing the different types of substantive changes included in this document:

- **Procedure One** for the Review of Substantive Changes Requiring **Notification and Approval Prior to Implementation**

- **Procedure Two** for the Review of Substantive Changes Requiring **Only Notification Prior to Implementation**

- **Procedure Three** for Closing a Program, Site, Branch Campus or Institution.

Procedures for the following types of changes are included in a separate document, "[Mergers, Consolidations, Change of Ownership, Acquisitions, and Change of Governance, Control, Form, or Legal Status](#)"

- initiating mergers or consolidations
• acquiring any program or site from another institution
• adding as a permanent location any site where the institution is conducting a teach-out for students of another institution that is closing
• changes in governance, ownership, means of control or legal status

The initiation or revision of programs not offered for academic credit and that are not eligible for federal financial aid does not require reporting; however, such programs are subject to review at the time of reaffirmation.
### Reporting the Various Types of Substantive Change

The different types of substantive change, the specific procedure to be used for each, their respective approval//notification requirements, and their reporting time lines are included in the table that follows. Please read the full text under the appropriate procedure for details regarding reporting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Change</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Prior Notification Required</th>
<th>Time Frame for Contacting COC</th>
<th>Prior Approval Required</th>
<th>Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiating coursework or programs at a different level than currently approved</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Application for Level Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Due dates: April 15 or September 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding at current degree level <em>(significant departure from current programs)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiating a branch campus <em>(See definition of “branch campus” on p. 3 of this document.)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiating a certificate program at employer’s request and on short notice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…using existing approved courses</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…at a new off-campus site <em>(previously approved program)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Modified prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…that is a significant departure from previously approved programs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Approval required prior to implementation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Modified prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiating other certificate programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…using existing approved courses</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…at a new off-campus site <em>(previously approved program)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…that is a significant departure from previously approved programs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altering significantly the educational mission of the institution</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Contact Commission Staff <em>(Also see page 16, item 9)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Change</td>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>Prior Notification Required</td>
<td>Time Frame for Contacting COC</td>
<td>Prior Approval Required</td>
<td>Documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiating joint or dual degrees with another institution: (See: “Agreements Involving Joint and Dual Academic Awards.”)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Programs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with another SACSCOC accredited institution</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to implementation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Copy of signed agreement and contact information for each institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… with an institution not accredited by SACSCOC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Programs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to implementation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Copy of signed agreement and contact information for each institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiating off-campus sites (including Early College High School and dual enrollment programs offered at the high school)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student can obtain 50% or more credits toward program</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… Student can obtain 25-49% of credit</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to implementation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Letter of notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… Student can obtain 24% or less</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding program offerings at previously approved off-campus sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… Adding programs that are significantly different from current programs at the site</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>… Adding programs that are NOT significantly different from current programs at the site</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altering significantly the length of a program</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Change</td>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>Prior Notification Required</td>
<td>Time Frame for Contacting COC</td>
<td>Prior Approval Required</td>
<td>Documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiating distance learning…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offering 50% or more of a program for the first time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…Offering 25-49%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to implementation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Letter of notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…Offering 24% or less</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiating programs or courses offered through contractual agreement or consortium</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to implementation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Letter of notification and copy of signed agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entering into a contract with an entity not certified to participate in USDOE Title IV programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…if the entity provides 25% or more of an educational program offered by the COC accredited institution</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…if the entity provides less than 25% of an educational program offered by the COC accredited institution</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to implementation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Copy of the signed agreement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Initiating a merger/consolidation with another institution | See SACSCOC policy “Mergers, Consolidations and Change of Ownership: Review and Approval.” | Yes | 6 months | Yes | Prospectus  
Due dates: April 15 or September 15 |
| Changing governance, ownership, control, or legal status of an institution | See SACSCOC policy “Mergers, Consolidations and Change of Ownership: Review and Approval.” | Yes | 6 months | Yes | Prospectus  
Due dates: April 15 or September 15 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Change</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Prior Notification Required</th>
<th>Time Frame for Contacting COC</th>
<th>Prior Approval Required</th>
<th>Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relocating a main or branch campus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving an off-campus instructional site (serving the same geographic area)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prior to implementation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Letter of notification with new address and starting date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing from clock hours to credit hours</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Justify reasons for change, indicate calculation of equivalency, and other pertinent information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altering significantly the length of a program</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiating degree completion programs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing a program, approved off-campus site, branch campus, or institution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Description of teach-out plan included with letter of notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…Institution to teach out its own students</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Immediately following decision to close</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Description of teach-out plan included with letter of notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…Institution contracts with another institution to teach-out students (Teach-out Agreement)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Immediately following decision to close</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Description of teach-out plan, copy of signed teach-out agreement detailing terms included with notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquiring any program or site from another institution</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding a permanent location at a site where the institution is conducting a teach-out for students from another institution that is closing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prospectus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Required Committee Visits

The following five types of substantive changes require on-site committee reviews within six months after implementation:

1. The initiation of an additional off-campus site/location at which a student can earn at least 50% of the credit toward an educational program, if any of the following applies: (a) the institution has a total of three or fewer additional locations, or (b) the institution has not demonstrated, to the satisfaction of SACSCOC, that it has a proven record of effective educational oversight of additional locations, or (c) the institution has been placed on sanction by SACSCOC or is subject to some limitation on its accreditation, or (d) the institution has been accredited by SACSCOC for less than ten years.

SACSCOC will conduct visits to the first three off-campus locations initiated by an institution that offer 50% or more of the credit for at least one program.

When an institution initiates its fourth off-campus site/location where 50% or more of a program’s credits are offered, SACSCOC may, at its discretion, choose not to conduct visits to any of these additional sites at the times of their initiation if the institution has previously demonstrated a record of effective oversight of its off-campus educational locations and has not been placed on sanction. However, SACSCOC will require visits to a representative sample of sites at the fifth-year interval between scheduled reaffirmations if (1) the additional sites have been initiated since the last scheduled reaffirmation and (2) the sites have not been visited.

At any time, SACSCOC may choose to authorize visits to new sites developed between the fifth-year review and the next scheduled reaffirmation of accreditation.

At the time of reaffirmation, SACSCOC will conduct a thorough review of a representative sample of additional locations/sites where a student can obtain 50% or more of course work toward an educational program. The extent of the review will depend, in part, on whether there has been a recent review of the site(s).

2. The initiation of a branch campus. A branch campus is defined as a location of an institution that is geographically apart and independent of the main campus of the institution. A location is independent of the main campus if the location is

- permanent in nature
- offers courses in educational programs leading to a degree, certificate, or other recognized educational credential
- has its own faculty and administrative or supervisory organization and
- has its own budgetary and hiring authority

If it is determined that a branch campus has sufficient autonomy, the institution may be directed to seek separate accreditation for the unit. (See SACSCOC policy “Separate Accreditation for Units of a Member Institution.”)

3. The initiation of a change in governance/ownership with a change in control. (See SACSCOC policy “Mergers, Consolidations, Change of Ownership, Acquisitions, and Change of Governance,"
4. The initiation of mergers/consolidations. (See SACSCOC policy “Mergers, Consolidations, Changes of Ownership, Acquisitions, and Change of Governance, Control, Form, or Legal Status.”)

5. The initiation of coursework, credit certificates, or degree programs at a different level than currently approved by SACSCOC. (Depending on the existing related programs offered by an institution, a committee visit may not be required for institutions moving from Levels III to IV or from Levels V to VI. See level classifications on page 14 of this document.)

The President of SACSCOC also is authorized to appoint a Substantive Change Committee to review an institution for any change requiring a more in-depth evaluation beyond the prospectus submitted by the institution. The report of the Substantive Change Committee will be used by the Board of Trustees of SACSCOC to determine the ongoing accreditation of an institution.

Policy Statements Regarding Substantive Change

1. The Principles of Accreditation: Foundations for Quality Enhancement applies to all programs and services of SACSCOC-accredited institutions wherever they are located or however they are delivered. Failure to comply with the Principles or with procedures referred to in this policy could result in the institution being placed on sanction or being removed from membership.

2. Denial of approval of substantive change is not appealable. An institution that fails to gain approval of the substantive change may resubmit a revised prospectus or application following the guidelines and time frames described in the Table on pages 6-9 of this document.

3. An accredited institution in the appeals process or in litigation with SACSCOC is not eligible for consideration of substantive change.

4. The SACSCOC substantive change policy applies only to SACSCOC-accredited institutions. Applicant and candidate institutions may not initiate substantive change.

5. Procedures One, Two, and Three may not address all substantive changes that SACSCOC will review in the interim between an institution’s reaffirmation cycles. Therefore, SACSCOC reserves the right to classify significant changes other than those described above as substantive in nature and to follow up accordingly. The follow-up procedure may include a committee visit.

6. An institution may withdraw its prospectus/application or may discontinue substantive change at any time during the review process by submitting a formal letter of withdrawal to the President of SACSCOC.

7. Once an institution submits its prospectus or application and the document is reviewed by either the Committee on Compliance and Reports or by SACSCOC staff, any information included therein that indicates possible non-compliance with any of the Core Requirements or Comprehensive Standards may lead SACSCOC to further review the institution, even if the
prospectus is withdrawn or approval of the change is denied.

8. SACSCOC staff review all substantive changes requiring notification prior to implementation and conduct a preliminary review of all changes requiring final approval by the SACSCOC Board of Trustees. All substantive changes described in Procedure One are referred to the Board of Trustees for approval as are the following cases:

- a proposed substantive change requiring prior approval submitted by an institution currently on sanction. Proposals by an institution on sanction to close a program or an off-site instructional site will be reviewed and, if appropriate, approved by Commission staff.

- a proposed substantive change submitted by an institution recently removed from sanction with particular attention to those involving non-compliance with Core Requirement 2.11.1 or Comprehensive Standard 3.10.1, both dealing with financial health

- a proposed substantive change submitted by an institution currently on reimbursement for Title IV federal funding

- the prospectus of an institution planning a merger/consolidation, change of legal status, governance, ownership or form of control. (See SACSCOC Policy “Mergers, Consolidations, Change of Ownership, Acquisitions, and Change of Governance, Control, Form, or Legal Status.”)

9. If an institution fails to report or to gain approval of a substantive change prior to its implementation and the nature of that change is not described in the list in item 8 above or those listed under Procedure One, the substantive change will be reviewed and, if possible, acted upon by staff. The issue of late submission, however, will be referred to the SACSCOC Board of Trustees for action. If an institution fails to report or to gain approval of a substantive change prior to its implementation and the proposed change is among those included in the list in item 8 above or those listed under Procedure One, both the prospectus/application and the issue of late submission will be referred to the SACSCOC Board of Trustees for action.

10. All final decisions regarding the accreditation status of an institution are made by the SACSCOC Board of Trustees. Denial of substantive change and the imposition of sanctions are not appealable actions.

11. Substantive changes of the types described in Procedures One and Two normally will not affect an institution’s cycle of reaffirmation of accreditation

12. Following the approval of a degree level change by the SACSCOC Board of Trustees, an institution may not initiate additional programs at the new degree level until after the Board takes positive action on its continued accreditation following the Substantive Change Committee visit authorized at the time of approval.

13. The date of the letter of approval of a substantive change is considered the date on which the change is included as part of the institution’s accreditation.

14. Extensive substantive changes by an institution may accelerate the date for the institution’s next reaffirmation. Examples of triggers for an accelerated reaffirmation include the following changes:
proliferation of branches or off-campus sites, frequent mergers or consolidations with other institutions, significant increases in enrollments, or rapid proliferation of new educational programs.

15. If an institution fails to follow SACSCOC substantive change policy and procedures, it may lose its Title IV funding or be required by the U.S. Department of Education to reimburse it for money received by the institution for programs related to the unreported substantive change. In addition, the institution’s case may be referred to SACSCOC Board of Trustees for the imposition of a sanction or for removal from membership. (See also Appendix A regarding standards and policies addressing unreported substantive change.)

16. If an institution has educational programs and off-campus instructional sites that are inactive but not closed, the following applies:

   - If an institution does not enroll students in an educational program for five years, then after five years of no students enrolled and no major course offered for the educational program (if that educational program is a significant departure from other educational programs currently offered by the institution), the institution must submit a prospectus to reinstate the educational program prior to admitting students into and offering major courses for the educational program.
   - If an institution does not offer courses or programs at an approved off-campus instructional site for five years, then, after five years of no students enrolled and no courses offered at the off-campus instructional site, the institution must submit a prospectus for approval of the off-campus instructional site to reinstate any educational program at the off-campus instructional site whereby a student can obtain 50 percent or more credits toward any educational program offered by the institution.

The Commission will use information collected on the institution’s completed “Institutional Summary Form Prepared for Commission Review,” submitted as part of the fifth and decennial year reviews, as the mechanism for reporting the above educational programs and instructional sites that are deemed by the institution to be inactive but not closed.

**Fees and Expenses**

1. Denial of approval of substantive change is not appealable. An institution that fails to gain approval of the substantive change may resubmit a revised prospectus or application following the guidelines and time frames described in Procedures One and Two.

The following fees will be assessed to institutions for the review of an application or prospectus:

- **$300** For an institution seeking review of a substantive change prospectus or application for level change
- **$150** Per institution for a collaborative effort between two member institutions seeking review of a single prospectus
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$100 Per institution for a collaborative effort among three or more member institutions seeking review of a single prospectus

$300 Per institution for review of a Category Three collaborative academic arrangement. The SACSCOC accredited institution(s) are responsible for ensuring payment.

2. Fees related to Substantive Change Committee visits

In addition to the fee assessed for reviewing the substantive change prospectus, the following total cost will be assessed to an institution hosting a Substantive Change Committee visit:

The actual cost of the committee
(Includes travel, lodging, food, and related expenses), and

$2,000 administrative fee
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PROCEDURE ONE

The Review of Substantive Changes Requiring Approval Prior to Implementation

Changes Requiring Approval

Substantive changes requiring submission of an application or a prospectus, and approval by the SACSCOC Board of Trustees prior to implementation by the institution are as follows:

1. **Initiating coursework, certificates, or programs of study at a different level than those previously approved by SACSCOC.** Institutions may not offer individual credit courses or programs beyond the level of current accreditation. Examples include: an associate degree-granting college initiating bachelor’s degrees or a four-year institution initiating degrees at the master’s level; a graduate institution initiating degrees at the undergraduate level, a baccalaureate degree-granting institution initiating occupational and technical degrees at the associate degree level. An institution requesting a level change should complete an Application for Members Seeking Accreditation at a Higher or Lower Degree Level.

   **Note:** Repackaging of an existing approved curriculum to create a new degree level, such as an institution that offers a full 120-hour baccalaureate program creating an associate degree from its lower-division offerings, usually requires only advance notification, not approval.

   SACSCOC classifies institutions according to the highest degree level offered by an institution. Those classifications are as follows:

   - **Level I** Offers the associate degree as the highest degree
   - **Level II** Offers the baccalaureate degree as the highest degree
   - **Level III** Offers the master’s degree as the highest degree
   - **Level IV** Offers the master’s and specialist degree as the highest degrees
   - **Level V** Offers three or fewer doctorate degrees as highest degrees
   - **Level VI** Offers four or more doctorate degrees

   An institution adding a fourth doctorate degree, causing it to be reclassified from Level V to Level VI, is required to request the level change in writing in order for SACSCOC to reclassify the institution within its data base.

   Applications for a change from Level III to Level IV and Level V to Level VI will be reviewed and, if possible, approved by staff.

2. **Initiating certificate programs for workforce development.** These are typically offered at the request of an employer, either on campus or at the workplace. Offering previously approved certificate programs at an unapproved off-campus site requires approval of the site prior to
implementation. Similarly, offering a certificate program that is a significant departure from existing approved certificate programs, either on or off campus, requires approval of the program prior to implementation. SACSCOC will waive the six-month notification requirement and accept a modified prospectus consisting of the name of the certificate, date of implementation, the complete physical address of the off-campus site (if applicable), a faculty roster, a discipline-specific description of library/learning resources, a description of physical facilities, and descriptions of courses to be offered at the site.

3. **Initiating other certificate programs.** Certificate programs consisting of courses drawn from the existing approved curriculum for a degree or diploma program do not require separate approval; they are considered to be included in the institution’s current accreditation. However, to offer such a certificate at a new site requires approval of the site. A certificate that is a significant departure from previously approved programs must be approved in advance—the same as any other new educational program.

4. **Initiating an off-campus (additional) site** *(site-based/classroom group instruction)* **at which students can earn at least 50% of the credits toward an educational program.** Locations at which instruction is offered by distance delivery, but students must be present on-site to access such instruction, are considered off-campus instructional sites and must be approved in advance.

Approval of an off-campus site is effective for a maximum of five years and will be reviewed again in the context of the fifth-year or decennial review.

For an institution replicating an approved educational program that is already offered at three or more approved sites, a modified prospectus consisting of a faculty roster, descriptions of the courses to be offered at the site, a description of discipline-specific library resources, a description of student support services, and a description of physical resources will suffice in lieu of responding to the requirements of a full prospectus.

5. **Initiating degree completion programs.** Degree completion programs usually include a compressed format with classes offered evenings or weekends to accommodate working adults, a requirement to transfer in some amount of previous college credit, and may include offering credit for career or life experience. The prospectus should include a discussion of how the degree completion program differs from the same program offered in traditional form, and how the institution will ensure that student learning outcomes are the same for both offerings. An example of such a change is adult or accelerated programs in management or organizational leadership.

6. **Initiating a branch campus.** A branch campus is defined as a location of an institution that is geographically apart and independent of the main campus of the institution. A location is independent of the main campus if the location is (1) permanent in nature, (2) offers courses in educational programs leading to a degree, certificate, or other recognized educational credential, (3) has its own faculty and administrative or supervisory organization, and (4) has its own budgetary and hiring authority. The prospectus for a proposed branch campus must include a business plan for the branch campus that describes:

- The educational program(s) to be offered at the branch campus;
- The projected revenues and expenditures and cash flow at the branch campus; and
- The operation, management, and physical resources at the branch campus.
7. **Initiating distance learning or correspondence courses and programs by which students can earn at least 50% of a program’s credits through delivery in a format other than face-to-face.** Institutions must demonstrate that a student who registers for a distance or correspondence course or program is the same student who participates in and completes the course or program and receives academic credit. Means of verification might include a secure login and pass code, proctored examinations, or other technologies and practices that are effective in verifying student identification. Processes used to verify student identity must also protect student privacy. Please see also the SACSCOC policy “Distance and Correspondence Education.”

8. **Expanding at the institution’s current degree level (significant departure from current programs).** What constitutes a “significant departure” from existing programs depends on what related programs are currently in place at a given institution. Refer to the Glossary of Terms for more specificity. Examples include the following: developing a new general education program, adding a master’s degree in nursing when the institution is accredited at Level III but currently offers only a master’s degree in education; an institution accredited at Level II (bachelor’s degrees), offering only a bachelor’s degree with a major in religion, adding three new bachelor’s degrees with majors in biology, business administration, and computer science. **Initiating a significant change in the established mission of the institution.** Significant changes in mission are those that lead to a fundamental shift in the nature of the institution. Examples include the following: the transformation of a technical college into a comprehensive community college, the initiation by a seminary of significant liberal arts offerings, the addition by a medical college of general education offerings, the initiation of an engineering school at a liberal arts institution. Editorial changes in the language of a mission statement are **not** substantive and need not be reported. See Commission staff regarding the prospectus. The change in mission may dictate a mix of required documentation.

9. **Changing from clock hours to credit hours.** The prospectus must include a clear explanation of the formula used to calculate equivalency of credit awarded. Please see also the SACSCOC policy “Credit Hours.”

10. **Changing significantly the length of a program, substantially increasing the number of clock or credit hours awarded for successful completion of a program.** Significant changes in program length are those with noticeable impact on the program’s completion time. Examples include the following: expanding a certificate program from 250 contact hours to 450 contact hours, increasing a baccalaureate degree from 124 hours to 150 hours.

11. **Relocating a main or branch campus.** The prospectus should demonstrate that the new facilities maintain the institution’s compliance with Comprehensive Standard 3.11.

12. **Initiating a collaborative academic program with another institution not accredited by SACSCOC.** The prospectus should demonstrate compliance with the SACSCOC policy “Agreements Involving Joint and Dual Academic Awards: Policy and Procedures.” Examples include joint degree or dual degree programs.

13. **Entering into a contract with an entity not certified to participate in USDOE Title IV programs.** This applies if the entity provides 25% or more of an educational program offered by the accredited institution. The prospectus must include a copy of the signed agreement.
The Procedure for Approval

Time of Notification

An institution undergoing substantive change requiring prior approval must provide written notification of the change to the President of SACSCOC in accord with the designated times outlined in the table on pages 6-9 of this document. In some cases, prior notification is not required.

If an institution is unclear as to whether a change is substantive in nature, it should contact SACSCOC staff for consultation.

Submission of a Prospectus or an Application

Prospectus: Prospectuses may be submitted in print form or on flash drive, CD or DVD (submit one copy). Once the prospectus has been submitted, the institution may advertise and recruit students to a new program or site as long as all materials clearly state that the program or site is pending approval by SACSCOC.

Application for Member Institutions Seeking Accreditation at a Higher or Lower Degree Level:
The application for change of degree level must be submitted by April 15 for consideration at the June meeting of the SACSCOC Board of Trustees, or by September 15 for consideration at the December meeting of the SACSCOC Board of Trustees to allow ample time for review and approval. Four copies of the completed application should be submitted to the President of SACSCOC as a print document or on flash drive, CD or DVD. Staff Options

Upon receipt of a substantive change prospectus, a SACSCOC staff member will review the prospectus and any supporting material submitted by the institution and will recommend to the SACSCOC Board of Trustees one of the actions listed below:

1. approve the substantive change or

2. refer the substantive change to the SACSCOC Committee on Compliance and Reports for review and a final recommendation to the Board of Trustees.

Upon receipt of an application for initiating coursework or programs at a level different from that for which it is approved, the application will be forwarded automatically to the SACSCOC Board of Trustees for review and approval at its next scheduled meeting: June or December.

Options of the Committees on Compliance and Reports
Following Review of the Prospectus or of the Application

Prospectus: The Committee will review the prospectus and any additional material submitted, and will recommend one of the following actions:

1. accept the prospectus and recommend approval of the program, with or without a site visit.
site visit is required within six months after the initiation of the following approved substantive changes:

(a) consolidation/merger; a change of ownership resulting in a change of control; change of governance, ownership, legal status
(b) a branch campus
(c) an off-campus site at which a student can earn at least 50% of the credit toward an educational program, if any of the following applies: the institution
   • has a total of three or fewer additional locations at which 50% or more of a programs credits are offered, or
   • has not demonstrated, to the satisfaction of the SACSCOC Board of Trustees, that it has a proven record of effective educational oversight of additional locations, or
   • has been placed on sanction by SACSCOC or is subject to some limitation on its accreditation

2. defer action and seek additional information

3. recommend denial of approval of the substantive change and continue the institution's accreditation. The reason for denial of approval may have been caused by an institution's current non-compliance with a standard or requirement. Consequently, denial may be accompanied by monitoring or imposition of a sanction.

Application for Member Institutions Seeking Accreditation at a Higher or Lower Degree Level

An application for offering programs at a level different from that for which the institution is approved is automatically referred to the Committees on Compliance and Reports, except for a change in degree levels from III to IV and from V to VI which are reviewed by staff. The Committee will review the application and any additional material submitted, and will recommend one of the following actions:

1. accept the application and approve the program, with a site visit within six months after initiation of the substantive change
2. defer action and seek additional information
3. deny approval of the substantive change and continue the institution's accreditation. The reason for denial of approval may have been caused by an institution’s current non-compliance with a standard or requirement. Consequently, denial may be accompanied by monitoring or imposition of a sanction.

Preparation for a Substantive Change Committee Visit

When a Substantive Change Committee is authorized, it is charged with determining the institution’s continued compliance with the Principles of Accreditation following the initiation of the change. The visit will occur within six months after initiation of the change. In preparation for this visit, the institution will complete the appropriate substantive change documentation template, which cites relevant Core Requirements, Comprehensive Standards and Federal Requirements, and the roster of faculty members who will be teaching in the program or at the site. Both the template and the Faculty Roster form are available on the SACSCOC Web site (www.sacscoc.org) under “Substantive Changes”. The institution’s SACSCOC staff representative will inform the institution of the composition and schedule for the Committee.

Options of the Committees on Compliance and Reports Following Review by a Substantive Change Committee
The report of the Substantive Change Committee, together with the response of the institution to the recommendations contained in that report (due within five months of the Committee visit), will be reviewed by the Committee on Compliance and Reports. The Committee on Compliance and Reports may recommend one of the following actions:

1. continue the institution in accreditation, with or without a monitoring report

2. continue the institution in accreditation, impose a sanction, and request a monitoring report, with/without a special committee visit (mandatory visit if placed on Probation)

3. discontinue accreditation
PROCEDURE TWO

The Review of Substantive Changes Requiring
Only Notification Prior to Implementation

Changes Requiring Notification Only

Substantive changes requiring an institution to notify the President of SACSCOC prior to implementation by the institution are as follows:

1. For site-based/classroom group instruction (where the instructor is present)

   a. Initiating an off-campus site at which a student may earn at least 25% but less than 50% of credits toward a program. The letter of notification must include the starting date and complete physical address of the new site.

   b. Moving an approved off-campus instructional site within the same geographic area to serve essentially the same pool of students. The letter of notification must include the complete physical address of the old site, the complete physical address of the new site, and the starting date of the new site.

2. For distance learning/technology-based group or individual instruction (where the instructor and student are geographically separated), offering for the first time credit courses via distance learning/technology-based instruction by which students can obtain at least 25% but less than 50% of their credits toward an educational program.

3. Initiating program/courses delivered through contractual agreement or a consortium. This provision does not apply to articulation agreements with other institutions, clinical agreements, or internship agreements. The notification must include (1) a letter with the starting date of the agreement and the names of the institutions and programs involved and (2) a copy of the signed agreement.

4. Entering into a contract with an entity not certified to participate in USDOE Title IV programs if the entity provides less than 25% of an educational program offered by the accredited institution. A copy of the signed agreement must be provided.

5. Repackaging of an existing approved curriculum to create a new degree level, such as an institution that offers a full 120-hour baccalaureate program creating an associate degree from its lower-division offerings, usually requires only advance notification, not approval.

Review Procedure
Time of Notification

An institution undergoing substantive change must provide written notification of the change to the President of SACSCOC prior to implementation. The letter must include the date of implementation of the proposed change, and for an off-campus site, the complete physical address of the location. If an institution is unclear as to whether a change is substantive in nature, it should contact SACSCOC staff for consultation.

Staff Options

Upon receipt and review of the substantive change notification, SACSCOC staff will recommend one of the following options to the President of SACSCOC:

1. acknowledge receipt of the notification and indicate that the change will be included in the scope of the institution’s accreditation

2. acknowledge receipt of the notification and request additional information.

Upon receipt and review of additional information, if requested, SACSCOC staff may recommend one of the following options to the SACSCOC President:

1. acknowledge receipt of the additional information and include the change in the scope of the institution’s accreditation,

2. refer the substantive change to the Board of Trustees of SACSCOC for review,

3. authorize a substantive change visit,

4. take other action as may be appropriate.
PROCEDURE THREE

Closing a Program, Instructional Site, Branch Campus or an Institution:
Teach-Out Plans and Teach-Out Agreements

In accordance with Federal regulations, an institution is required to submit a teach-out plan to SACSCOC for approval if any of the following occurs:

1. The USDOE notifies the Commission that it has initiated an emergency action against an institution or an action to limit, suspend, or terminate an institution participating in any Title IV, HEA program.

2. The Commission terminates accreditation or candidacy.

3. The institution notifies the Commission that it intends to cease operations entirely or close a location that provides at least 50% of at least one program.

4. A State Licensing or authorizing agency notifies the Commission that an institution's license or legal authorization to provide an educational program has been or will be revoked.

If an institution decides to close an educational program, approved instructional site, branch campus, or the entire institution, it must choose one of the following options:

1. The institution teaches out currently enrolled students; no longer admits students to programs; and terminates the program, the operations of an approved instructional site or a branch campus, or the operations of an institution after students have graduated. (Teach-out plan)

2. The institution enters into a contract for another institution or organization to teach out the educational programs or program. (Teach-out agreement)

Teach-out plans and teach-out agreements must be approved by SACSCOC prior to implementation. See also the SACSCOC Good Practices document "Closing a Program, Site, Branch or Institution"

Teach-out Plans

A teach-out plan is a written plan developed by an institution that provides for the equitable treatment of students if an institution, or an institutional location that provides fifty percent or more of at least one program, ceases to operate before all students have completed their program of study, and may include, if required by the institution's accrediting agency, a teach-out agreement between institutions. Teach-out plans must be approved by SACSCOC in advance of implementation.
To be approved, a teach-out plan must include the following information:

1. Date of closure (date when new students will no longer be admitted)

2. An explanation of how affected parties (students, faculty, staff) will be informed of the impending closure

3. An explanation of how all affected students will be helped to complete their programs of study with minimal disruption

4. An indication as to whether the teach-out plan will incur additional charges/expenses to the students and, if so, how the students will be notified

5. Signed copies of teach-out agreements with other institutions, if any

6. How faculty and staff will be redeployed or helped to find new employment

7. If closing an institution, arrangement for the storing of student records, disposition of final financial resources and other assets

Following review and approval of a teach-out plan that includes a program that is accredited by another accrediting agency, the Commission will notify that accreditor of its approval.

Teach-out Agreements

A teach-out agreement is a written agreement between institutions that provides for the equitable treatment of students and a reasonable opportunity for students to complete their program of study if an institution, or an institutional location that provides fifty percent or more of at least one program offered, ceases to operate before all enrolled students have completed their program of study. Such a teach-out agreement requires SACSCOC approval in advance of implementation.

For approval by SACSCOC, the agreement must be between institutions that are accredited by a nationally recognized accrediting agency, be consistent with applicable standards in the Principles of Accreditation and with SACSCOC policies, and provide for the equitable treatment of students by ensuring that:

1. the teach-out institution has the necessary experience, resources, and support services to provide an educational program that is of acceptable quality and reasonably similar in content, structure, and scheduling to that provided by the closed institution; and

2. the teach-out institution demonstrates that it can provide students access to the program(s) and services without requiring them to move or travel substantial distances.

Please see the SACSCOC Good Practices document “Closing a Program, Site, Branch or Institution” for additional discussion of issues regarding closing of programs, sites, branch campuses or institutions.
Closing an institution without an agreement

If an institution accredited by SACSCOC closes and is no longer accredited, SACSCOC will seek assistance from the United States Department of Education and appropriate state agencies to help its students find reasonable opportunities to complete their education without additional expense.

Approval Process

Time of Notification

As soon as the decision to close is made, the institution should provide to SACSCOC at the same time the following two pieces of information: (1) notification of the intended closing of a program, site, branch campus, or institution and (2) a teach-out plan for approval (including any teach-out agreements with other institutions).

Staff Options

Upon receipt and review of the notification of impending closure, SACSCOC staff will recommend that the President of SACSCOC acknowledge receipt of the notification and request the teach-out plan if was not included with the notification. Upon receipt and review of the teach-out plan, SACSCOC staff may recommend one of the following options to the SACSCOC President:

1. request additional information for the teach-out plan

2. approve the teach-out plan
Appendix A: Current Standards and Policy Statements Addressing Unreported Substantive Change

1. **Principles of Accreditation**, Comprehensive Standard 3.12.1

   The institution notifies the Commission of changes in accordance with the substantive change policy and, when required, seeks approval prior to the initiation of changes.

2. **“Reaffirmation of Accreditation and Subsequent Reports” – Policy Statement”**

   If an institution fails to report a substantive change that requires prior approval or prior notification, the committee will take the following actions:

   a) If discovered during the off-site review. The Off-Site Review Committee will mark CS 3.12.1 out of compliance. The institution will be able to address the omission in its Focused Report and before the on-site review.

   b) If discovered during the on-site review. The On-Site Reaffirmation Committee will mark CS 3.12.1 out of compliance and write a recommendation. The institution will address the recommendation in its response to the Commission.

3. **Policy Statement on Unreported Substantive Change**

   Unreported substantive changes requiring prior notification or prior approval come to the attention of the Commission through two means: (1) information discovered by the institution or by the Commission between periods of formal review by the Commission and (2) information discovered during an off-site or an on-site review by the Commission. The procedure for handling such unreported substantive changes is as follows:

   a) Upon discovery, the institution formally notifies the SACSCOC President of the unreported substantive change. The letter of notification must include the date of the original implementation of the change. A completed prospectus or application should accompany the letter for cases outlined in Procedure One of this document.

   b) Commission staff will review the substantive change prospectus, if required; and any additional information that may have been requested. Following analysis, Commission staff will recommend to the SACSCOC Board of Trustees one of the following actions:
1. approve the program, with or without a site visit;
2. refer the prospectus to the Committee on Compliance and Reports for review at its next meeting (June or December); or
3. acknowledge receipt of the notification and indicate that the change will be included in the scope of the institution’s accreditation (an option only if prior notification is required).

c) The issue of failure to comply with Comprehensive Standard 3.12.1 of the *Principles of Accreditation* (Substantive change) will be forwarded automatically to the Commission’s Board of Trustees for action at its next meeting, if the change required prior approval. If the change required prior notification only, the issue of failure to report will be addressed in correspondence from the SACSCOC President.

d) If the unreported substantive change requiring prior notification or prior approval is discovered during the institution’s off-site or on-site review for reaffirmation, SACSCOC will follow its policy as described on page 1 of “Reaffirmation of Accreditation and Subsequent Reports”. If it is discovered during review by another type of SACSCOC committee, the review committee will write a recommendation. The recommendation will ask the institution to report the change in writing to SACSCOC and to provide in its response to the Committee Report a statement describing internal procedures established that would ensure future substantive change reporting and evidence that the procedures have been implemented. The institution’s response will be forwarded to the Board of Trustees of SACSCOC for action on failure to report a substantive change.

**Failure to Comply with Reporting Requirements**

If an institution fails to follow SACSCOC substantive change policy and procedures, it may lose its Title IV funding or be required by the U.S. Department of Education to reimburse it for money received by the institution for programs related to the unreported substantive change. In addition, the institution’s case may be referred to SACSCOC Board of Trustees for the imposition of a sanction or for removal from membership.
Appendix B:
The Content of the Substantive Change Prospectus

One copy of a prospectus should be submitted to the SACSCOC President on paper or on CD or DVD (please see “Guidelines for Communicating Information Electronically” for guidance on electronic media) and include all applicable information below regarding the change. Documents will not be accepted via e-mail. The document should include a concisely worded narrative with the information specified in this appendix. A prospectus normally does not exceed 25 pages plus appendices. Please note that SACSCOC reserves the right to make amendments to the requirements outlined below for certain types of changes.

In lieu of a prospectus, SACSCOC will accept documentation submitted for approval to a system office or to a state coordinating or governing board, provided such documentation includes all the information required in a prospectus and includes an index correlating the submitted materials with the corresponding information required in a prospectus. Faculty qualifications, however, must be documented using the faculty roster form. Curriculum vitae in lieu of a faculty roster will not be accepted.

Reminder: An institution initiating a level change must complete an Application for Member Institutions Seeking Accreditation at a Higher or Lower Degree Level and submit it in quadruplicate in lieu of completing a prospectus.

The following guidelines are generic; each prospectus should be tailored to focus on the specific change being proposed.

Cover Pages for a Substantive Change Prospectus

- Include name, phone number, and e-mail address of person to be contacted with questions regarding the prospectus
- List degrees that the institution is authorized to grant. As a subset of each degree, list majors available. (Photocopy from catalog is acceptable)
- List certificate, diploma and degree programs which are related to the proposed program(s)
- List institutional strengths that facilitate the offering of the proposed program(s)
- List of existing approved off-campus sites and their addresses

1. **ABSTRACT** (limit to one page or less)

   Describe the proposed change; list the initial date of implementation; projected number of students, if applicable; description of primary target audience; projected life of the program (single cohort or ongoing); instructional delivery methods and, if the change involves the initiation of an off-campus site, its complete physical address,
2. **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Provide a clear statement of the nature and purpose of the change in the context of the institution’s mission and goals; evidence of the legal authority for the change (if authorization is required by the governing board or the state); and whether the proposed degree program or similar program is offered on the main campus or at other approved off-campus sites.

3. **ASSESSMENT OF NEED AND PROGRAM PLANNING/APPROVAL**

*Briefly discuss the rationale for the change, including an assessment of need; evidence of inclusion of the change in the institution’s ongoing planning and evaluation processes; and documentation that faculty and other groups were involved in the review and approval of the new site or program.*

4. **DESCRIPTION OF THE CHANGE**

Provide a description of the proposed change, including the specific outcomes and learning objectives of the program and a schedule of proposed course offerings. In the case of a change involving the initiation of a branch campus or an off-campus site, indicate the educational program(s) to be offered. Describe any differences in admission, curriculum, or graduation requirements for students enrolled at new site(s), or any special arrangements for grading, transcripts, or transfer policies. Demonstrate compliance with FR 4.9 (Definition of Credit Hours) of the Principles. Describe administrative oversight to ensure the quality of the program or services to be offered. A prospectus for approval of distance learning should describe the infrastructure supporting the delivery method (training of faculty, development of courses for distance delivery, technical support for student and faculty).

5. **FACULTY**

Provide a complete roster (using the Faculty Roster form) of those faculty employed to teach in the program(s) referred to in the prospectus, including a description of those faculty members’ academic qualifications and other experiences relevant to the courses to be taught in the program in question, course load in the new program, and course work taught in other programs currently offered. Please consult the “Faculty Roster Instructions” for guidance in completing the Roster for current faculty who will be supporting the change. Provide a narrative with supporting evidence that the number of full-time faculty members is adequate to support the program; and describe the impact of the new initiative on faculty workload.

For distance learning programs, describe processes in place to ensure that students have structured access to faculty. For graduate programs, document scholarship and research capability of faculty; for doctoral programs, document faculty experience in directing student research.

6. **LIBRARY AND LEARNING RESOURCES**

Describe library and information resources—general as well as specific to the program—and staffing and services that are in place to support the initiative. If reliant upon other libraries, describe those collections and their relevance to the proposed program(s) and include a copy of formal agreements in the appendix. Relative to electronic resources, describe how students and faculty will access information, training for faculty and students in the use of online resources, and staffing and services.
available to students and faculty. If you are citing electronic databases accessed through consortial or statewide groups, please describe the discipline-specific suites of resources and not just the name of the consortium (such as Viva, Tex-Share, Galileo, Louis, etc.). For doctoral programs, document discipline-specific refereed journals and primary source materials.

7. **STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES**

Provide a description of student support programs, services, and activities—general as well as specific to the change—in place to support this initiative.

8. **PHYSICAL RESOURCES**

Provide a description of physical facilities and equipment to support this initiative. Assess the impact that the proposed change will have on existing programs and services.

9. **FINANCIAL SUPPORT**

The institution must disclose if it is currently on reimbursement for Title IV funding.

Provide a business plan that includes all of the following:

a. a description of financial resources to support the change, **including a budget** for the first year of the proposed change (a three-year budget is requested for a new branch campus). The budget must be specific to the proposed change. **Do not send** a copy of the institutional budget.

b. projected revenues and expenditures and cash flow

c. the amount of resources going to institutions or organizations for contractual or support services

d. the operational, management, and physical resources available for the change.

*Provide contingency plans in case required resources do not materialize.*

*For institutions currently on sanction with SACSCOC for financial reasons, provide a copy of the most recent audit.*

10. **EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT**

Describe how the institution assesses overall institutional effectiveness as well as the means used to
monitor and ensure the quality of the degree program(s), off-campus site(s), or other changes. Summarize procedures for systematic evaluation of instructional results, including the process for monitoring and evaluating programs at the new site, as well as using the results of evaluation to improve institutional programs, services, and operations. For compressed time frames describe the methodology for determining that levels of knowledge and competencies comparable to those required in traditional formats have been achieved.

11. **APPENDICES**

Appendices may include items such as copies of library and other cooperative or contractual agreements. All appendices should be referenced in the text.

*June 2009*

*Edited: February 2013*
Appendix C: *Application for Member Institutions Seeking Accreditation at a Higher or Lower Degree Level*

(Follow the above link to access the Application template.)
Appendix B

Converting an Approved Campus-based Degree Program
or Certificate Program to a Distance Offering

The process and procedure described below applies to a substantive change in a University of Alabama existing, approved degree program or certificate program where the delivery of the program will

- add an online or off-campus version of the existing, approved campus-based, face-to-face degree program or certificate program, or
- replace the existing campus-based face-to-face degree program or certificate program with an online or off-campus version of the degree program or certificate program.

Under these circumstances, SACSCOC substantive change policy does not require prior notification and/or prior approval, but SACSCOC expects that internal procedures are in place to ensure compliance with the pertinent SACSCOC core requirements, comprehensive standards and federal requirements.

Responsibility:

It is the responsibility of the department chair, the associate dean and the college dean where the degree program or certificate program resides to ensure that the following steps are completed before the distance delivery of the program is initiated.

Required Process and Procedure:

Steps:

1. The Department Chair will identify the degree program or certificate program and affirm that the degree program or certificate program is currently listed on the official UA approved list of degree programs and certificate programs that is available on the UA SACSCOC website (see http://sacs.ua.edu/) and the Academic Affairs website (see http://www.provost.ua.edu)

Degree Program or Certificate Program Curriculum:

2. The Department Chair will list all the courses (Course Prefix & Number, Course Title) that make up the degree program or certificate program.

3. The Department Chair will provide a hard copy (or a hyperlink to an electronic copy) of the course syllabus for each course identified in Step 2.

4. The Department Chair will affirm that each course syllabus contains clearly defined and measurable course-level student learning outcomes to be achieved upon completion of the course.
Faculty Qualifications:

4. The Department Chair will identify the faculty member(s) that will teach each of the courses identified in Step 2. (If faculty are To-Be-Named, then at the minimum, the faculty assigned to teach the courses to be offered the first year of the distance program must be identified)

5. The Department Chair will provide a hard copy (or a hyperlink to an electronic copy) of the curriculum vita of each faculty member identified in Step 4.

6. The Department Chair will affirm that the designated faculty member in Step 4 to be assigned to teach each course holds the appropriate degree and/or credentials qualifying him/her to teach the respective course in accordance with University of Alabama and SACSCOC faculty credential requirements.

Credit Hour Policy:

7. The Department Chair will specifically indicate (course by course) how each of the identified courses in Step 2 meets the University of Alabama Credit Hour Policy (see Addendum 1: UA Credit Hour Policy, page 45). Typically for a given course, the documentation may reference the time involved in completing assignments & activities, studying, participation in online discussions, etc. and/or the assessment evidence to be provided that affirm achievement of a given student learning outcome, or a combination of the two. This credit hour evidence-base should closely align with the details provided in the respective course syllabus.

Student Learning Outcomes Assessment

8. The Department Chair will prepare an assessment plan for the proposed distance degree program that meets the University of Alabama Division of Academic Affairs Program and Student Learning Outcomes Assessment requirements (i.e., three common program outcomes, at least 4 undergraduate student learning outcomes or at least 2 graduate student learning outcomes and at least two measures of achievement for each outcome). Since the proposed degree program already exists as an on-campus face-to-face degree program and has an assessment plan in place in the WEAVE Accreditation Management System, the Department Chair can create one outcomes assessment plan to address both on campus and distance delivery of the degree program or certificate program by completing the UA Request to Combine Degree Programs into One Single Annual Assessment Plan (see attached Addendum 2, page 50).

9. The Department Chair will affirm that the measurement of program outcome achievements and student learning outcome achievements includes both on-campus and distance learning students. The Department Chair can choose to combine or report separately their assessment results in the annual assessment report due September 15 each year.

Library and Learning Resources

10. The Department Chair will affirm that the students enrolled in the distance degree program or certificate program will have access to the same or comparable library and information
resources as the on-campus student enrolled in the program and that staffing and services are in place to support the distance offering.

11. The Department Chair will affirm that adequate physical facilities and equipment are accessible to the distance student to meet the demands and expectations of the degree program or certificate program.

12. The Department Chair will sign the UA Substantive Change Routing Approval Form (see attached page 44) and forward it and all supporting documentation to the College Associate Dean.

13. The designated associate dean and the dean of the college where the degree program or certificate program resides will affirm that the department chair has satisfactorily completed each of the 11 steps presented above and sign off on the attached Substantive Change Routing Form. A copy of the approval form and all supporting documentation will be sent to the SACS Liaison and to the Provost & VP for Academic Affairs.
University of Alabama Substantive Change Routing Approval Form

Name of the Degree Program: ______________________________________________________

Originating Department: __________________________________________________________

Check one: □ This substantive change involves a new degree program to be offered online or at an off-campus location
          □ This substantive change is an existing and approved degree program to be offered at an off-campus location or
            utilizing a distance education delivery format

Approvals:

1. Department Chair: The UA and SACSCOC policies and procedures have been followed in the
   preparation of this substantive change: ____________________________
   (Print Name)
   ____________________________________________________________
   (Signature)

2. College Associate Dean: I have reviewed and verify that the action steps executed by the department chair
   ensure that all UA, SACSCOC, and federal policies and procedures pertaining to the
   substantive change have been met. ____________________________
   (Print Name)
   ____________________________________________________________
   (Signature)

3. College Dean: I have reviewed and approve the substantive change and verify that all federal,
   SACSCOC and UA substantive change policies and procedures have been met.
   ____________________________
   (Print Name)
   ____________________________________________________________
   (Signature)

   (if Graduate Degree Program) I have reviewed the graduate level substantive change documentation and affirm
4. Graduate Dean/Assoc Dean that all UA Graduate School policies and procedures associated with a substantive
   change have been addressed.
   ____________________________
   (Print Name)
   ____________________________________________________________
   (Signature)

   (if International Program) I have reviewed the substantive change documentation and affirm that all
5. Associate Provost for
   International Education provisions within the Memorandum of Understanding are consistent with Capstone
   International Center academic program policies.
   ____________________________
   (Print Name)
   ____________________________________________________________
   (Signature)

6. Provost & VP Acad Affairs: □ I approve the substantive change for this existing approve degree
   program
       □ I approve the substantive change for this new degree program pending official approval from the SACSCOC.
   ____________________________
   (Print Name)
   ____________________________________________________________
   (Signature)
Purpose. The purpose of this policy is to guide the determination of credit hours to be awarded for course work in all University of Alabama degree programs in accordance with the Federal Definition of a Credit Hour and the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC) Credit Hours Policy Statement. For a detailed description of the Federal Definition of a Credit Hour and the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC) Credit Hours Policy, please see Appendix #1.

Implementation Date. 06/01/2013

Policy. The University of Alabama will normally grant one semester hour of credit for the learning outcomes expected upon the satisfactory completion of approximately fifteen 50 minute sessions of direct faculty instruction/examination or equivalent form of assessment in the typical fall and spring semesters.

Colleges may award credit for the any of the following reasons:

1. Experiencing one hour [50 minutes] of class attendance/faculty instruction/examination or equivalent form of assessment per week.
2. Performing the equivalent amount of work over a different period of time.
3. Demonstrating evidence of achievement, represented in intended learning outcomes, that is equal to the amount of work that is equivalent to experiencing one hour of class attendance or faculty instruction per week, for 15 weeks, etc.

Instruction may take place in a variety of modes appropriate to the discipline. This basic measure may be adjusted proportionately to reflect modified academic calendars, standard calendar variations from one term to another, formats of study, and exigencies, e.g., severe weather, etc.

Underlying this statement is the assumption that each 50 minute session requires the average student to spend twice as much time outside of class reviewing the material presented in class, preparing for upcoming classes, completing homework assignments, studying for quizzes and exams, and other tasks which verify evidence of student achievement and mastery of the course materials. More specifically,
1. A 1-credit hour class will approximate 750 minutes of instruction/examination per semester.
2. A 2-credit hour class will approximate 1,500 minutes of instruction/examination per semester.
3. A 3-credit hour class will approximate 2,250 minutes of instruction/examination per semester.
4. A 4-credit hour course will approximate 3,000 minutes of instruction/examination per semester.

Other Instructional Modes

One semester credit hour may be granted for manifold modes of instruction:

1. Activity supervised as a group (laboratory, field trip, practicum, workshop, and group studio). The semester credit hours awarded vary depending on the amount of outside work required. When substantial outside work is required for each two hour block of laboratory per week, one semester hour of credit is awarded. Group activity requiring little outside work may require three or four hours of laboratory each week for each semester credit hour awarded.
2. Supervised individual activity (independent study, individual studio, tutorial).
3. Full-time independent study (student teaching, practicum, internship). If a student’s academic activity is essentially full-time (as in student teaching), one semester credit hour may be awarded for each week of work.
4. Experiential learning. One semester hour of credit may be awarded for each 40-45 hour week of activity that provides the learning considered necessary to the program of study.
5. Credit by examination. Semester credit hours may be awarded for mastery demonstrated through examination.
6. Short sessions (Interim Terms, Summer Terms (five and ten week), Weekend College, etc.). When credit hours are awarded for courses taught during a Part-of-Term, the learning outcomes expected must be the same as are expected for the same course taught during the fall or spring semester.

Online and Hybrid Instruction (Asynchronous).

1. Courses offered using alternative forms of delivery [online, intensive, or a hybrid] shall be planned to enable students to achieve the equivalent student learning outcomes as courses offered in the traditional instructor led format in classrooms on main campus.
2. For each credit hour awarded, students should be spending approximately one hour (50 minutes) in contact with the instructor and two hours (100 minutes) of course work outside of class per week over the equivalent of a 15-week semester [including examinations or equivalent forms of assessment].
3. “Hours outside of class” include advance/daily readings, writing, participation in online discussions, studying, reflection projects, final papers, etc...
Caution: Intensive classes typically meet for four, five, or eight hours per day, five days a week. Consequently, for students in an intensive course, depending on the length of the instructional day, students cannot be expected to spend 10+ hours per day studying outside of class.

4. For these courses the credit hour definition does not emphasize the concept of “seat time” as the primary metric for determining the amount of student work.
   a. Credit hours may be assigned for an amount of work represented by verifiable student documentation of the amount of work a typical student is expected to complete within a specified amount of academically engaged time,
   b. Or on the basis of documented student learning calibrated to that amount of academically engaged time for a typical student.

Out-of-Class Coursework.

In order to be successful, the average student will be expected to prepare for each class by engaging in a minimum of two hours of out-of-class work / week for each credit hour earned. Toward this end, students are expected to have completed the required assignments and reviewed previous lecture material in addition to completing any of the alternative forms of out-of-class work deemed appropriate by the instructor (term paper, lab work, problem sets, discussion questions, interviews, etc.).

Equivalent Coursework.

Consistent with the federal definition of a credit hour, it is acceptable to replace sessions of equivalent instruction and/or examination with alternative forms of coursework (e.g., laboratory work, practicums, out-of-class assignments and projects, assigned readings, problem sets, discussion questions, service learning experiences, a “capstone” project, etc.).

Procedures for Implementation.

Designated Personnel Responsible for Implementation.

The primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with the UA Credit Hour Policy is the faculty, Academic Department Chairs, and designated Assistant/Associate Deans within each college or school under the supervision of the respective academic dean. When a course is first proposed or a change to an existing course is submitted, via the Course Inventory Management Process, the Department Chair reviews the course syllabus and affirms that the appropriate credit hours have been assigned for the work to be completed both in class and outside of class. The syllabus should be descriptive enough that it may serve as evidentiary confirmation the course meets the UA Credit Hour Definition/Policy. The syllabus is subsequently submitted to the Office of the Vice Provost for Academic Affairs for undergraduate courses and the Graduate School for
graduate courses for final approval. This review process will affirm that the course is planned to be in compliance with the credit hour definition/policy.

“Active” courses in the on-line course inventory are expected to be in compliance with the credit hour definition/policy. These will be reviewed on a continuing five year schedule.

Each year each academic department, using the University’s on-line planning and assessment tool, will include an outcome for the assessment of their respective faculty’s compliance of this Credit Hour Definition/Policy. For Fall and Spring terms of the academic year, each academic department Chair will randomly select one course in each Program of Study for a comprehensive, compliance determination.

Means By Which Institutional Constituents Are Informed Of The Policy and Procedures It Entails.

The Dean will distribute copies of the policy and procedures to their chairs and faculty within their schools and colleges and discuss and answer related questions.

Process of Amending and Approving the Credit Hour Policy Or Implementation Procedures.

The Council of Deans in consultation with the Faculty Senate will consider and approve changes in the policy and its implementation.

Is Compliance with Procedures Mandatory? Yes.
APPENDIX #1

The Federal Definition of a credit hour is:

“A credit hour is an amount of work represented in intended learning outcomes and verified by evidence of student achievement that is an institutionally established equivalency that reasonably approximates

1. not less than one hour of classroom or direct faculty instruction and a minimum of two hours out-of-class student work each week for approximately fifteen weeks for one semester, or trimester hour of credit, or ten to twelve weeks for one quarter hour of credit, or the equivalent amount of work over a different amount of time, or

2. at least an equivalent amount of work as required outlined in item 1 above for other academic activities as established by the institution including laboratory work, internships, practica, studio work, and other academic work leading to the award of credit hours.”

According to the federal guidelines, each institution is responsible for determining the credit hours awarded for coursework in its programs in accordance with the definition of a credit hour for federal program purposes. It is important to note that there is no requirement that a credit hour exactly duplicate the amount of work cited in the definition above. Rather, the requirement is that a credit hour reasonably approximates the minimum amount of work. This definition does not dictate particular amounts of classroom time versus out-of-class student work. In determining the amount of work the institution’s learning outcomes will entail, the institution may take into consideration alternative delivery methods, measures of student work, academic calendars disciplines and degree levels. (Reference: Department of Education Dear Colleague Letter issuing Guidance to Institutions and Accrediting Agencies Regarding a Credit Hour as Defined in the Final Regulations Published on October 29, 2010).

The federal credit hour definition does not emphasize the concept of “seat time” (time in class) as the primary metric for determining the amount of student work for federal purposes. Institutions may assign credit hours to courses for an amount of work represented by verifiable student achievement of institutionally established learning outcomes. Credits may be awarded on the basis of documentation of the amount of work a typical student is expected to complete within a specified amount of academically engaged time or on the basis of documented student learning calibrated to the amount of academically engaged time for the typical student. (Reference: Department of Education Dear Colleague Letter issuing Guidance to Institutions and Accrediting Agencies Regarding a Credit Hour as Defined in the Final Regulations Published on October 29, 2010).
Addendum 2
Combining Two or More Degree Programs into One Assessment Plan

Starting Point

Annual assessment of program outcomes and student learning outcomes is required of all UA undergraduate and graduate degree programs listed in the Alabama Commission on Higher Education (ACHE) Inventory. Assessment of program outcomes and student learning outcomes is also required of all UA degree programs offered online and/or at off-campus locations. Each of these ACHE-listed degree programs became an “entity” in UA’s new WEAVEonline Accreditation Management System during the 2011-12 academic year.

In August, 2012, the decision was made to permit UA academic departments the option to combine degree programs into one common annual assessment plan rather than require a separate annual assessment plan for every degree program. Under special circumstances and following specific procedures, it would be permissible, for example, to combine a BS and a BA degree program into one annual assessment plan. Or, it might be possible to combine the BS degree programs offered on campus, online and at off-campus sites into one assessment plan. Similarly, if different tracks or concentrations are offered within a degree program, it would be possible to create one common assessment plan to address all the degrees’ tracks. Or finally, it might be possible to add one additional student learning outcome to the core set of student learning outcomes in the combined assessment plan that would address the uniqueness of a particular degree program, track of delivery modality.

Criteria

The overarching criteria for combining degree programs into one annual assessment plan are:

a) the respective program outcomes and student learning outcomes are essentially the same with only minor exceptions, and
b) the assessment of the achievement of each outcome includes a representative sample of all constituents in the combined degree programs.

There are many different instances where overlapping program outcomes and student learning outcomes may exist (i.e., a BS and BA degree program, an MS and MA degree program, an online and an on-campus offering of the same degree program, multiple tracks or concentrations within a given degree program, etc.)

Who Decides

The decision to combine degree programs or degree offerings into one annual assessment plan should preferably originate from the program faculty. It will then be approved and advanced by the Department Chair where the degree program(s) reside and will ultimately be approved by the Dean of the respective college. An official memo of record is prepared and posted in the respective WEAVEonline Document Repository. (If a SACS off-site or on-site review committee inquires about
the assessment of outcomes of a degree program that has been subsumed into a combined annual assessment plan, this document will serve as the evidence affirming the rationale for the combined assessment approach)

**Implementation Process**

The attached form is to be used to combine two or more degree programs or degree offerings into a single assessment plan (see attached Request to Combine Degree Programs Into One Common Assessment Plan):

a. The Department Chair or his/her designee should complete the form.

b. The Department Chair should secure the Dean’s approval on the form.

c. The Department Chair should send a signed copy of the completed form to Dr. Smallwood (Bob.Smallwood@ua.edu)

d. Dr. Smallwood will then post a copy of the signed form in the appropriate Document Repository in the 2012-13 WEAVE cycle. He will also amend the list of degree program WEAVE entities within the respective academic department.
Request to Combine Degree Programs Into One Single Annual Assessment Plan

1. Date________________
   Month  Day  Year

2. Academic Department ________________________________

3. College ________________

4. Name of Person Preparing This Form______________________________

5. What are you combining into one annual assessment plan?

   _____ a. two or more undergraduate degree programs
   Identify the names of the undergraduate degree programs here:

   _____ b. two or more graduate degree programs
   Identify the names of the graduate degree programs here:

   _____ c. a single degree program that is offered on-campus, and/or online, and/or at an off-campus site
   Identify the name of the degree program and how it is offered:
   Degree Program Name___________________________
   ______offered on campus
   ______offered online
   ______offered off-campus

   _____ d. multiple tracks or concentrations within a single degree program
   Identify the name of the degree program and the names of the tracks or concentrations here:

   _____ e. some other combinations into a single assessment plan (explain below)
   Explanation:

6. List the program outcomes and student learning outcomes for the first program/track/delivery method to be combined:
Name of Degree Program/Track\Delivery Method____________________

Program Outcome 1______________________________________________________________

Program Outcome 2______________________________________________________________

Program Outcome 3______________________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 1_______________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 2_______________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 3_______________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 4

.
.
.

Student Learning Outcome n______________________________________________________

7. List the program outcomes and student learning outcomes for the second program/track/delivery method to be combined:

Name of Degree Program/Track\Delivery Method____________________

Program Outcome 1______________________________________________________________

Program Outcome 2______________________________________________________________

Program Outcome 3______________________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 1_______________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 2_______________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 3_______________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 4

.
.
.
8. List the program outcomes and student learning outcomes for the third track/delivery method to be combined:

Name of Degree Track\Delivery Method____________________

Program Outcome 1______________________________________________________________

Program Outcome

2______________________________________________________________

Program Outcome

3______________________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 1

______________________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 2

______________________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 3

______________________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 4

______________________________________________________________

... 

Student Learning Outcome n

______________________________________________________________

9. List the program outcomes and student learning outcomes for the nth track to be combined:

Name of Degree Track____________________

Program Outcome 1______________________________________________________________

Program Outcome

2______________________________________________________________

Program Outcome 3______________________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 1 ____________________________________________________________
Student Learning Outcome 2

---------------------------

Student Learning Outcome 3

---------------------------

Student Learning Outcome 4

.  
.  
.

Student Learning Outcome n

---------------------------

9. List the program outcomes and student learning outcomes for the Combined Annual Assessment Plan

Name of Combined Degree Program Assessment Plan: ________________________________

Program Outcome

1. ____________________________________________________________

Program Outcome

2. ____________________________________________________________

Program Outcome

3. ____________________________________________________________

Student Learning Outcome 1

---------------------------

Student Learning Outcome 2

---------------------------

Student Learning Outcome 3

---------------------------

Student Learning Outcome 4

.  
.  
.

Student Learning Outcome n

---------------------------
11. Indicate how you intend to ensure that the assessment of the achievement of each outcome in the combined assessment plan will include a representative sample of respondents from each of the entities that have been combined (i.e., a representative sample of on-campus vs. off-campus vs. online students; a representative sample of students from each track/concentration; etc.) or if you plan to administer the same assessment instruments to each student constituency in your combined assessment plan and compare and contrast performance (outcome achievement) across the constituent groups.

Department Chair Approval:

I support and recommend combining the degree programs, degree tracks/ concentrations, and/or the delivery methods into a single outcomes assessment plan

Chair Name (printed):______________________________

Chair Signature ___________________________________

Dean Approval:

I approve combining the degree programs, degree tracks/ concentrations, and/or the delivery methods into a single outcomes assessment plan

Dean Name (printed):______________________________

Dean Signature ___________________________________